

Avoidance of Classical Singularities in Quantized Gravitational Dust Systems

Yoav Peleg¹

Physics Department, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02254

Peleg@Brandeis

ABSTRACT

We use the canonical quantization of spherically symmetric dust universes, and calculate the expectation values of the quantized metric, $\langle \Psi | \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} | \Psi \rangle$. Though the classical solutions are singular, and the wave functions have no zero support on singular geometries, the expectation value (for a general quantum state) is everywhere regular. For a quasi-classical (coherent) state, $|\alpha \rangle$, the expectation value, $\langle \alpha | \hat{g} | \alpha \rangle$ describes a universe (or star) that collapses to a minimum radius, the Planck radius, and re-expands again.

¹This work is supported by the NSF grant PHY 93-15811

The canonical quantization of simple dust universes is known for many years [1], and it was shown recently that the quantum states describing those systems are bound states [2,3]. In this work we discuss the issue of singularities of those models.

We use the geometrical coordinates, $G = c = 1$, and $\hbar \neq 1$.

Consider first a homogeneous close universe. It can be described by the Friedmann metric with the line element

$$ds^2 = -N_{\perp}^2(t)dt^2 + a^2(t)d\Omega_3^2 \quad (1)$$

where $d\Omega_3$ is the volume element on the 3-sphere. The matter in this universe is dust, with the Lagrangian [3,4] $L_D = -8\pi \int \sqrt{-g}\rho U^{\mu}U_{\nu}d^3x$. Where ρ is the dust density. The total Lagrangian including the gravitational part, can be written as [2,3]

$$L = L_{grav} + L_{dust} = 12\pi \int_0^{\pi} \sin^2\chi d\chi \left[\frac{a\dot{a}^2}{N_{\perp}} - N_{\perp}(a - a_0) \right] \quad (2)$$

where a_0 is the maximum radius of the universe. The Hamiltonian of this system is

$$H = N_{\perp} \left[\frac{1}{24\pi^2} \frac{P_a^2}{a} + 6\pi^2(a - a_0) \right] \quad (3)$$

where $P_a = \partial L / \partial \dot{a}$. The classical solution of this simple system is the well-known cycloidal solution [5]

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{2}a_0(1 + \cos(t)) \quad (4)$$

where we chose the gauge in which $N(t) = a(t)$. The solution (4) describes a universe, starting with a maximum radius, a_0 , that collapse to the singularity, $a = 0$.

The quantization of this system is elementary, using the Hamiltonian (3), we can get the Wheeler-DeWitt equation [2,3] (or the Schrödinger equation)

$$\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial N_{\perp}} \psi(a) = \left[\frac{-\hbar^2}{24\pi^2} \frac{1}{a} \frac{d^2}{da^2} + 6\pi^2(a - a_0) \right] \psi(a) = 0 \quad (5)$$

where we have used the coordinate representation $\langle a|\Psi \rangle = \psi(a)$, $\hat{a} = a$, $\hat{P}_a = -i\hbar\partial/\partial a$. If we define $x = a - \frac{1}{2}a_0$, we get the harmonic oscillator equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x^2 \right] \psi(x) = E\psi(x) \quad (6)$$

where $m = 12\pi^2$, $\omega = 1$ and $E = 3\pi^2 a_0^2$. We see that the quantum state describing this universe is a bound state, $\psi_n(x)$, with the spectrum

$$E_n = 3\pi^2(a_0^2)(n) = \hbar \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (7)$$

We see that the initial radius of the universe can take only discrete values [2],

$$a_0(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\pi} \sqrt{n + 1/2} \quad (8)$$

The Oppenheimer-Snyder (OS) collapsing star is a spherically symmetric homogeneous dust star that collapses to form a Schwarzschild black hole [6]. The interior is a slice of the homogeneous Friedmann universe. One can use (1), but the angle χ is limited to the region $[0, \chi_0]$. Where χ_0 is a constant angle smaller than $\pi/2$. The star's surface is at $\chi = \chi_0$. Outside the star we have the (static) Schwarzschild space-time. The matching conditions on the star's surface give

$$2M = a_0 \sin^3 \chi_0 \quad \text{and} \quad R_0 = a_0 \sin \chi_0 \quad (9)$$

where R_0 is the initial Schwarzschild radius of the collapsing star, and M is its mass.

The Lagrangian in that case is $L = L_{inside} + L_{outside}$. Where L_{inside} is (2) (where the χ -integration is from zero to χ_0 only). The outside Lagrangian describes an empty Schwarzschild space-time, and when we study the semiclassical states of this system, it does not contribute. This is because $\psi_{WKB} \sim \exp(iS_{class}/\hbar)$, and $S_{class}(\text{Sch.}) = 0$. The classical solution in that case is again (4). It describes a star, initially with a radius R_0 , which collapses to the Schwarzschild singularity, $a = 0$.

The Wheeler-DeWitt equation in this case, is very similar to (5), with the only difference that now $0 \leq \chi \leq \chi_0$. The states are bound states, and the quantization conditions are [3]

$$\frac{1}{4}(\alpha_0 a_0^2)(n) = \hbar \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (10)$$

where $\alpha_0 = 12\pi \int_0^{\chi_0} \sin^2 d\chi$. From (9) and (10) we see that the mass and initial radius of the Oppenheimer-Snyder star are subject to a quantization [3].

One way of avoiding the classical singularity is if the wave function has zero support on singular geometries. Namely, if $\psi(g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(sing)}) = 0$. In our case the singular geometries are the ones for which $a = 0$. This is the “big crash” in the cycloidal solution, and the black hole singularity in the OS model. If we had $\psi(a = 0) = 0$, then the probability of having a singularity is zero, $\mathcal{P}_{singular} = |\psi(a = 0)|^2 = 0$. Unfortunately, this is not the case in our model. The wave functions that satisfy the Wheeler-DeWitt equation are the standard harmonic oscillator wave functions, and those do not vanish for $a = 0$, or $x = -\frac{1}{2}a_0$. Does this mean that we have singularities also in the quantum model? In other words, is the condition $\psi(a = 0) = 0$, necessary for avoiding the classical singularity?

We will suggest a much weaker condition, based on the assumption that the *basic* physical quantity is the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$. In that case, in the quantum theory, if the system is in a state $|\Psi\rangle$, one should calculate the metric expectation value $\langle \Psi | \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} | \Psi \rangle$. This is a real matrix. The properties of this real matrix determine the geometric structure of the “quantum system”, especially, the singular structure of it. Using this weaker condition, we will see that the above models can be “quantum mechanically singularity free”.

Explicitly, we propose the following criteria for a “quantum singularity”: *Given a quantum state of the system, $|\Psi\rangle$, one should calculate the expectation value of the metric $\langle \Psi | \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} | \Psi \rangle$. The quantum system is singular only if its expectation value is.*

The metric can be singular for some states but not for others. So this criteria may serve as a “wave function selector”. The stronger criteria, $\psi(a = 0) = 0$, is not satisfied by *any* state in the Hilbert space of the solutions of (6).

We are going to see that the weaker one, regularity of $\langle \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \rangle$, is satisfied by a general quantum state.

Consider first the “most classical situation”. This is described by the quasi-classical coherent state, $|\alpha\rangle$, which satisfies (see the solution (4))

$$\hat{a}_x|\alpha(t)\rangle = \alpha(t)|\alpha(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}a_0e^{-i\omega t}|\alpha\rangle \quad (11)$$

where $\hat{a}_x = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{X} + \hat{P}_x)$. Now let us calculate $\langle \alpha|\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}|\alpha\rangle$. Using (1) we see that the metric (in the gauge $N(t) = a(t)$) is

$$ds^2 = -a^2(t)dt^2 + a^2(t)d\chi^2 + a^2(t)\sin^2\chi d\Omega_2^2 \quad (12)$$

and we need to calculate only $\langle \alpha|\hat{a}^2|\alpha\rangle$. Where $\hat{a}$ is the operator $\hat{X} - \frac{1}{2}a_0$. This is elementary

$$\langle \alpha|\hat{a}^2|\alpha\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^*)^2 + \frac{a_0}{\sqrt{2}}(\alpha + \alpha^*) + \frac{a_0^2}{4} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \quad (13)$$

and using (11), we get

$$\langle \alpha|\hat{a}^2|\alpha\rangle = \frac{a_0^2}{4}(1 + \cos(t))^2 + \frac{\hbar}{2} \quad (14)$$

The first term on the r.h.s of (14) is exactly the classical solution (4), and the second term is the quantum correction. The quantum correction depends of course on the quantization scheme that we use. Namely, it depends on the ordering that we choose. To get (13) we use the “symmetric ordering”, namely, $X^2 = \hat{a}_x^\dagger \hat{a}_x + \hat{a}_x \hat{a}_x^\dagger + \hat{a}_x^2 + (\hat{a}_x^\dagger)^2$. If we define the p -ordering such that $X^2 = (1-p)\hat{a}_x^\dagger \hat{a}_x + p\hat{a}_x \hat{a}_x^\dagger + \hat{a}_x^2 + (\hat{a}_x^\dagger)^2$, we see that the quantum correction goes like $p\hbar$. So for example if we use the Wick order, $p = 0$, we get zero quantum correction.

From (1) we see that a singular quantum metric is a one in which the expectation value $\langle \Psi|\hat{a}^2|\Psi\rangle$, vanishes for some t . But according to (14) this is impossible². The expectation value $\langle \alpha|\hat{g}|\alpha\rangle$ is everywhere regular, it does not vanish for any t . Remember that $|\alpha\rangle$ is the semiclassical (coherent) state, and so one may suspect that the quantum corrections are at least as

²Unless $p = 0$.

strong for a general quantum state. This is indeed the case. The Hilbert space of these models is spanned by $\{|n\rangle\}$, the harmonic oscillator eigenstates. For a general eigenstate, $|n\rangle$, we have (using the p -order)

$$\langle n|\hat{X}^2|n\rangle = \hbar n + p\hbar \quad (15)$$

the first term on the r.h.s of (15), $\hbar n$, is the one that contributes to the “classical value” of X , while the second term is the quantum correction. We see that it is the same for any $|n\rangle$. Any state $|\Psi\rangle$ is a (normalized) linear combination of $|n\rangle$ -states (like $|\alpha\rangle$). So for any state $|\Psi\rangle$, we will get the same quantum correction.

The expectation value (14) describes a semiclassical dust universe, starting with a maximum radius a_0^2 , and collapsing to a minimum radius³

$$a_{min} \sim \hbar = l_P \quad (16)$$

here $\sim$ is because we should have the p -factor in (14). This semiclassical universe *does not* reach the classical singularity, $a = 0$. The dust density, at $a = a_{min}$ is

$$\rho_{max} \sim \frac{N}{a_{min}^3} = N l_P^{-3} \quad (17)$$

where N is the number of dust particles (“baryons”).

According to our assumption that the metric is the basic physical quantity, all other physical quantities should be derived from $g_{\mu\nu}^{(\alpha)} = \langle \alpha | \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} | \alpha \rangle$. So we consider $g_{\mu\nu}^{(\alpha)}$ as if it is a classical metric. For example the corresponding Ricci scalar is

$$R[g_{\mu\nu}^{(\alpha)}] = \frac{24}{a_0^2(1 + \cos(t))^2 + 2l_P^2}$$

So the “quantum Ricci scalar” is bounded from above, $R_{max} \sim (a_{min})^{-2}$.

In the case of the OS model, the solution (14) describes a dust star that collapses to a minimum radius, l_P , and does not reach the Schwarzschild singularity.

These results can be easily generalized to the (spherically symmetric) inhomogeneous case. Spherically symmetric dust matter in 4D Einstein gravity

³In the geometrical units $M_P = l_P = \hbar^{1/2}$.

is an integrable system [7]. One can fix the (diffeomorphism) gauge completely, and get an infinite set of independent (non propagating) degrees of freedom [1]. So we will first fix the gauge classically, and then quantized the reduced system. One can fix the gauge by choosing the comoving coordinates in which the metric has the form

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + e^{2\mu}d\mathcal{R}^2 + e^{2\lambda}d\Omega_2^2 \quad (18)$$

where μ and λ are functions of τ and $\mathcal{R}$, and $d\Omega_2^2$ is the volume element in S^2 . Explicitly, we choose τ and $\mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} U_\nu &= -\nabla_\nu \tau \\ \mathcal{R} &= \lambda' e^{\lambda-\mu} \end{aligned}$$

where U_ν are the 4-velocities of the dust particles, and prime denote $\mathcal{R}$ -differentiation. This gauge fixing corresponds to $N_\perp = 1$, $N^i = 0$, and it is complete [1]. One then gets the reduced Hamiltonian [1,3]

$$H_{red} = \int_0^{\rho_s} \left(\frac{32\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}{3\pi} \frac{P_Y^2}{Y} + \frac{3\pi\rho}{2\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} Y \right) d\rho \quad (19)$$

where $\rho = \sqrt{1-\mathcal{R}^2}$, and ρ_s is the surface of the dust star. $Y(\tau, \rho)$ is the (reduced) field variable, $Y = 8e^\lambda$ and $P_Y = \delta L / \delta \dot{Y} = 3\pi Y \dot{Y} / (64\rho\sqrt{1-\rho^2})$. A most important feature of (19) is that there are no ρ -derivatives in it. This means that the (infinite number of) degrees of freedom are decoupled. One can discretize (19). Define

$$\rho_k = \frac{k}{N} \rho_s, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (20)$$

and write (19) as a sum

$$H_{red} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\alpha_k \frac{P_k^2}{Y_k} + \beta_k Y_k \right) \quad (21)$$

where $Y_k(\tau) = Y(\tau, \rho_k)$, $P_k(\tau) = P(\tau, \rho_k)$, and

$$\alpha_k = \frac{32}{3\pi} \rho_k \sqrt{1-\rho_k^2}, \quad \beta_k = \frac{3\pi}{2} \frac{\rho_k}{\sqrt{1-\rho_k^2}}$$

The classical equations of motion are $\dot{Y} = \delta H_{red}/\delta P_Y$ and $\dot{P}_Y = -\delta H_{red}/\delta Y$. Given the Cauchy data, $Y(\rho, \tau = 0)$, $P_Y(\rho, \tau = 0)$ (or $\{Y_k^{(0)}, P_k^{(0)}\}$), we get a unique solution to the classical equations [7].

The Hamiltonian (19) (or (21)) is time independent so we can write it as

$$H_{red} = \sum_{k=1}^N E_k \quad (22)$$

where

$$E_k = \frac{1}{N} \left(\alpha_k \frac{(P_k^{(0)})^2}{Y_k^{(0)}} + \beta_k Y_k^{(0)} \right) \quad (23)$$

and $Y_k^{(0)} = Y_k(\tau = 0)$, $P_k^{(0)} = P_k(\tau = 0)$.

To quantize this system, we use the coordinates representation

$$\hat{Y} = Y \quad ; \quad \hat{P}_Y = -i\hbar \frac{\delta}{\delta Y} \quad (24)$$

and get the Schrödinger equation (the Wheeler-DeWitt equation)

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi[Y; t]}{\partial t} = \int_0^{\rho_s} \left(-\frac{32\sqrt{1-\rho_k^2}}{3\pi} \frac{\hbar^2}{Y} \frac{\delta^2}{\delta Y^2} + \frac{3\pi\rho}{2\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} Y \right) \Psi[Y; t] d\rho \quad (25)$$

where we used the “ YP_Y ordering” (the field Y is always to the left of its conjugate momenta). One can use the discrete form of (25)

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(\vec{Y}, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(-\alpha_k \frac{\hbar^2}{Y_k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y_k^2} + \beta_k Y_k \right) \Psi(\vec{Y}, t) \quad (26)$$

Using the fact that we have a decoupling, we can write the quantum state, as a direct product

$$|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi_1\rangle |\Psi_2\rangle \cdots |\Psi_N\rangle \quad (27)$$

and from (22), (25) and (26) we get a set of N independent (Schrodinger) equations

$$\frac{1}{N} \left(-\alpha_k \frac{\hbar^2}{Y_k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y_k^2} + \beta_k Y_k \right) \Psi_k(Y_k) = E_k \Psi_k(Y_k) \quad (28)$$

which is the equation for an harmonic oscillator [3], with mass, $m_k = 1/2\alpha_k$, frequency, $\omega_k = 8\rho_k$, and energy, $\epsilon_k = E_k^2/4N^2\beta_k$. So the spectrum of (26) is quantized, and we can write $|\Psi_k\rangle = |n_k\rangle$. The Hilbert space of solutions of the Schrödinger equation (26) is spanned by

$$\{|\Psi\rangle\} = \{|n_1\rangle |n_2\rangle \cdots |n_N\rangle\} \quad (29)$$

The quantization conditions, $\epsilon_k = \hbar\omega_k(n_k + 1/2)$, give

$$E_k^2 = \frac{1}{N} \frac{12\pi\hbar\rho_k^2}{\sqrt{1-\rho_k^2}} (n_k + 1/2)$$

and using (22) we get

$$H_{red} = \hbar^{1/2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_k A_k (n_k + 1/2)^{1/2} \quad (30)$$

where $A_k = 4\sqrt{3\pi\rho_k}/(1-\rho_k^2)^{1/4}$. For a finite N , the spectrum (30) is discrete.

In the case of a dust star that collapses to form a Schwarzschild black hole, H_{red} is proportional to the mass of the star. In that case (30) is the “generalized Bekenstein area quantization” [8,3].

Because A_k is of order 1, an average n_k is of order $H_{red}^2/\hbar$, which for astronomical objects is a huge number⁴. So we are in the semiclassical regime.

Consider a semiclassical collapse, starting at rest (at $\tau = 0$). In that case we have $P_k^{(0)} = 0$, and (28) is now

$$\left[-\alpha_k \frac{\hbar}{Y_k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y_k^2} + \beta_k (Y_k - Y_k^{(0)}) \right] \Psi_k(Y_k) = 0 \quad (31)$$

Defining $X_k \equiv Y_k - \frac{1}{2}Y_k^{(0)}$, we see that $\{X_k, P_k\}$ is a one dimensional harmonic oscillator. A quasi-classical (coherent) state, corresponding to a classical solution $X_k^{(cl)}$, is

$$\hat{a}_{X_k} |\alpha_k\rangle = \frac{X_k^{(0)}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\omega_k\tau} |\alpha_k\rangle \quad (32)$$

⁴For our sun for example, we have $M_\odot^2/\hbar \sim 10^{60}$.

We want to calculate the metric expectation values. These are $\langle \Psi | e^{2\mu} | \Psi \rangle$ and $\langle \Psi | e^{2\lambda} | \Psi \rangle$ (see (18)). In our gauge however, we have $e^\mu = \frac{\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}{8\rho} \partial Y / \partial \rho$. So we need to calculate only $\langle \alpha_k | Y_k^2 | \alpha_k \rangle$. Again, this is elementary, we use the p -ordering and get

$$\langle \alpha_k | Y_k^2 | \alpha_k \rangle = \left(Y_k^{(cl)} \right)^2 + \frac{4\hbar}{3\pi} p \sqrt{1 - \rho_k^2} \quad (33)$$

where $Y_k^{(cl)}$ is the classical collapsing solution. Like in the homogeneous case, $\langle \alpha_k | Y_k^2 | \alpha_k \rangle$ *does not* reach the classical singularity, $\langle Y^2 \rangle = 0$. For a general quantum state (29), we have the same quantum correction, so the expectation value is generally everywhere regular.

In this work we use a weak condition for a “quantum avoidance” of classical singularities: given the quantum state of the system, the quantum geometry is singular, only if its metric expectation value is.

In the case of spherically symmetric dust universes (or stars), the expectation value $\langle \Psi | \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} | \Psi \rangle$ is everywhere regular. Semiclassically it describes a dust universe (or a dust star) that collapse to a minimum radius, the Planck radius, and *does not* reach the classical singularity.

The existence of a singularity in the space-time structure is strongly related to the “information puzzle” during the Hawking evaporation. The standard view is that if the information is lost, it is lost “inside” the singularity. It may be interesting to study the Hawking radiation with a “semiclassical background” [9], $\langle \Psi | \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} | \Psi \rangle$.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Stanley Deser for very helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

1. F. Lund, *Phys.Rev.***D8**, 3253; 4229 (1973)
 B.S. DeWitt, *Phys.Rev.***160**,1113, (1967)
 J.A. Wheeler, in *Battelle Recontres*, eds. C.M. DeWitt and J.A. Wheeler
 (NY, Wiley, 1968)
2. J.H. Kung, “Quantization of the Closed Minisuperspace Models as
 Bound states”, Harvard Univ. report, hep-th/9302016 (1993)
3. Y. Peleg, “The Wave Function of a Collapsing Star”, Brandeis Univ.
 Report No. BRX-TH-342, hep-th/9303169 (1993); “Quantum Dust
 Black Holes”, Brandeis Univ. Report No. BRX-TH-350, hep-th/9307057
 (1993)
4. R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner, *Ann.Phys.* (NY) **33**, 88 (1965);
 “The Dynamics of General Relativity”, in *Gravitation: an Introduction
 to Current Research*, ed. L. Witten (Wiley, NY, 1962)
 B.F. Schutz, Jr. *Phys.Rev.***D4**, 3559 (1971)
5. C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman,
 San Francisco, 1973)
6. J.R. Oppenheimer and H. Snyder, *Phys. Rev.* **56**, 455 (1939)
7. R.C. Tolman, *Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.***20**, 169 (1934)
 L.D. Landau and L.M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, (Perg-
 amon, Oxford, 1962)
8. J.D. Bekenstein, *Lett.Nuov.Cimen.***11**, 476 (1974)
9. C.R. Stephens, G. 't Hooft and B.F. Whiting, “Black Hole Evaporation
 without Information Loss”, Univ. of Utrecht report no. THU-93/20,
 hep-th/9310006 (1993)